

2023-24 Competitive Events Guidelines

Emerging Business Issues



Emerging Business Issues Presentation Rating Sheet					
Expectation Item	Not Demonstrated	Below Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Points Earned
Content					
Understanding of Issue/Topic	<i>No understanding of event topic OR incorrect topic used</i>	<i>Understanding of topic inconsistent from the event guidelines</i>	<i>Demonstrates the topic throughout the presentation</i>	<i>Demonstrates use of industry terminology throughout the presentation</i>	
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	
Substantiates and cites sources used while conducting research	<i>Sources are not cited</i>	<i>Sources/References are seldom cited to support statements</i>	<i>Professionally legitimate sources & resources that support statements are generally present</i>	<i>Compelling evidence from professionally legitimate sources & resources is given to support statements</i>	
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	
Affirmative Argument					
Flow and Logic of Content	<i>No logic of content AND unclear flow</i>	<i>Logic of content is minimal OR unclear flow</i>	<i>Content is presented with logical content and flows well</i>	<i>Content is well defined and in a logical sequence of ideas</i>	
	0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points	
Quality of Evidence	<i>No quality of evidence</i>	<i>Argues 1 piece of evidence</i>	<i>Argues 2-3 pieces of evidence</i>	<i>Argues 4+ pieces of evidence</i>	
	0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points	
Persuasiveness	<i>Argument is not persuasive</i>	<i>Argument is partially persuasive</i>	<i>Argument is persuasive and audience is convinced</i>	<i>Argument is compelling and audience is in support</i>	
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	
Relevance of Argument	<i>No relevance</i>	<i>Argument is partially relevant</i>	<i>Argument is relevant</i>	<i>Argument is exceptional and compelling</i>	
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	
Negative Argument					
Flow and Logic of Content	<i>No understanding of event topic OR incorrect topic used</i>	<i>Logic of content is minimal OR unclear flow</i>	<i>Content is presented with logical content and flows well</i>	<i>Content is well defined and in a logical sequence of ideas</i>	
	0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points	
Quality of Evidence	<i>No quality of evidence</i>	<i>Argues 1 piece of evidence</i>	<i>Argues 2-3 pieces of evidence</i>	<i>Argues 4+ pieces of evidence</i>	
	0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points	
Persuasiveness	<i>Argument is not persuasive</i>	<i>Argument is partially persuasive</i>	<i>Argument is persuasive and audience is convinced</i>	<i>Argument is compelling and audience is in support</i>	
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	

2023-24 Competitive Events Guidelines

Emerging Business Issues



Relevance of Argument	<i>No relevance</i>	<i>Argument is partially relevant</i>	<i>Argument is relevant</i>	<i>Argument is exceptional and compelling</i>	Points Earned
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	
Expectation Item	Not Demonstrated	Below Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Points Earned
Presentation Delivery					
Statements are well-organized and clearly stated, appropriate business language used	<i>Competitor(s) did not appear prepared</i>	<i>Competitor(s) were prepared, but flow was not logical</i>	<i>Presentation flowed in logical sequence</i>	<i>Presentation flowed in a logical sequence; statements were well organized</i>	
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	
Demonstrates self-confidence, poise, assertiveness, and good voice projection	<i>Competitor(s) did not demonstrate self-confidence</i>	<i>Competitor(s) demonstrated self-confidence and poise</i>	<i>Competitor(s) demonstrated self-confidence, poise, and good voice projection</i>	<i>Competitor(s) demonstrated self-confidence, poise, good voice projection, and assertiveness</i>	
	0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points	
Demonstrates the ability to effectively answer questions	<i>Unable to answer questions</i>	<i>Does not completely answer questions</i>	<i>Completely answers questions</i>	<i>Interacted with the judges in the process of completely answering questions</i>	
	0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points	
Staff Only: Penalty Points (5 points for dress code penalty and/or 5 points for late arrival penalty)					
Presentation Total (100 points)					
Name(s):					
School:					
Judge Signature:					Date:
Comments:					